

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074.688	02/12/2002	Robert David Alcock	722-X02-019	9160
27317	7590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
	N GIBBONS GUTMAN &	KIM, K	KIM, KEVIN	
	COURVOISIER CENTRE II, SUITE 404 601 BRICKELL KEY DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIAMI, FL	33131		2634	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>{</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/074,688	ALCOCK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin Y Kim	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 F	ebruary 2002.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
,3) <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. St tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	I Date			
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the data" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. However, in light of similarly worded claim 2, "the data" is understood as complex I and Q data obtained by mixing the signal down to 0 Hz, for the purpose of examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koslov et al (US 5,940,450).

Koslov et al discloses (see Fig.3) a method of estimating a carrier frequency of a phase-modulated signal (see col.1, lines 18-20) which is known to take "the form of a pulse train of phase jumps of a predetermined size such that an integer multiple of phase jumps equals 2π radians," comprising;

a) extracting the pulse train from the signal (see col.2, lines 40-41 describing Equalized Symbols, i.e., "the pulse train"),

Application/Control Number: 10/074,688 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

b) making an initial estimate of the carrier frequency (see col.2, lines 44-49 describing ROM 114 generating an initial estimated carrier frequency),

- c) estimating an error in the initial estimate (see col.6, lines 23-42, describing the generation of a frequency error),
- d) using the error to generate a more accurate estimate of the carrier frequency (note that the error is used to adjust the initial carrier frequency to a more accurate one) and e) repeating steps c) and d) until an estimate of the carrier frequency having a desired accuracy is calculated (note that the carrier frequency adjustment is in a feed-back loop, thereby repeating the steps c) and d)).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 3 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,688

Art Unit: 2634

Hu et al (Us 6,704,344) and Hanna et al (US 6,269,118) teach a carrier recovery from a phase modulated signal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Han I lin